

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/039,438	03/16/1998	WOO-SUP SHIN	041992-5037	9576
30827 7:	590 11/20/2002			
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP 1900 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006			EXAMINER	
			ZERVIGON, RUDY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1763	7.5
			DATE MAILED: 11/20/2002	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

* * *	Arabana in the same of the sam	55				
	Application N .	Applicant(s)				
	09/039,438	SHIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rudy Zervigon	1763				
The MAILING DATE f this communicati n appears on the c ver sheet with the correspondenc address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 J	lune 2002 .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	r election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept		niner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_is: a) approved b) disappro	ved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
.S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 7, 10, 11, 13, 14, 17-22, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nelson (U.S.Pat. 4,147,581) in view of Chung et al (U.S. Pat. No. 5,00,795) and Kanda (U.S.Pat. 4,338,157). Nelson discloses an etching process and apparatus for chemically etching material from a substrate (column 1, lines 40-68; Figure 1). An etched product ("solid"; column 4, lines 40-50) is covered in unit 2 (Figure 1) with an aqueous liquid (first etchant - "etching solution"; column 4, line 43; column 2, lines 45-69) including HF (abstract) and the resulting liquid (residual etchant of stream 3, Figure 1; column 4, lines 58-60) is passed through an ion exchanger (11, Figure 1; "separation tank"; column 4, line 67-column 5, line 16) to remove the ions from the rinse liquid which is reused or discharged (30, 16; Figure 1). The solids (residue materials) are removed from an etcher ("etch bath") (2) via a stream (3) which passes into a rinse chamber (a second tank) (4). (Fig. 1; col. 4, lines 49-68). The rinse liquid stream (7) then goes through an ion exchanger means (11). A replenishing solution (30) from the ion exchange means is combined (31) with the stream (22) of a bulk storage tank (20; 1st Tank; column 5, lines 35-40) to form a combined stream (31) going to the etcher (2; col. 5, lines 35-55). The bulk storage tank (20) has streams flowing to the etcher (2) for etching the product and to the ion exchange means (11) in order to regenerate the resin. Stream (12) from the

:

:

Art Unit: 1763

ion exchanger (11) moves to a discharge stream (16), which passes to a sewer. (Col. 5, lines 5-

Page 2

10). The etcher (2) can be a spray etcher which would inherently have nozzles (col. 4, line 40).

Nelson does not disclose expressly teach an immersion of a substrate in an etched bath or a

bubble plate.

Chung et al disclose a bubble plate (17) located on the floor of a tank (10; Fig. 1). The bubble

plate (17) transmits inert gas to create a bubbling condition within the tank (10) for sufficient

agitation (col. 1, lines 60-68). Silicon substrates (14; column 3, lines 44-48) are immersed in an

etch bath ("hot sulfuric acid"; 13; Fig. 2; col. 2, lines 25-38; column 3, lines 44-48).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to

replace the spray etcher of Nelson with the etch bath and bubble plate of Chung et al.

The motivation for doing so would be to replace the etchant delivery means (ie, sparyer etcher)

with an alternate and equivalent etching means (ie a bath etcher).

Art Unit: 1763

Nelson and Chung et al do not teach a temperature sensor and control unit.

Kanda et al disclose a process control system (45, 47-57; Figure 10; column 9, line 12 – column

10, line 47) having a thermocouple for measuring the temperature of the etching solution (8,

Figure 2) used to etch a submerged substrate (2, Figure 3). Kanda specifically teaches a control

unit (45, 47-57; Figure 10; column 9, line 12 - column 10, line 47) for receiving a signal

indicating the temperature (T) of the etchant from a temperature sensor ("thermocouple") and

transmitting an etching termination signal ($P \simeq 0$) to the etch bath when the temperature reaches a

target temperature. Further, Kanda teaches the etched thickness (Q; column 10, lines 10-15) of

the substrate is derived from the temperature (T) of the first etchant.

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to

control the etching operation for the etching apparatus of Nelson with the chemical processing

control system of Kanda.

The motivation for controlling the etching operation for the etching apparatus of Nelson and

Chung et al with the chemical processing control system of Kanda would have been to detect the

termination of etching appropriately and precisely as taught by Kanda (column 10, lines 44-47).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Nelson

with Chung et al and Kanda to obtain the invention.

Art Unit: 1763

3. Claims 3-6, 8, 9, 12, 15, 23, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nelson (U.S.Pat.4,147,581) in view of Chung et al (U.S.Pat.5,00,795) and Kanda (U.S. Pat. No. 4,886,590), and further in view of Jones et al (U.S. Pat. No. 3,869,313). Nelson, Chung, and Kanda are discussed above.

Nelson, Chung et al, and Kanda do not disclose expressly a rinse and drying bath for the substrate.

As to claims 3-5, 8, 9, and 12, Jones et al disclose a chemical processing apparatus containing a plurality of treatment chambers having a dip chamber with filling pumps, a spray chamber which serves as a rinse chamber or a drying chamber (col. 2, lines 20-39 and 63-68; col. 3, lines 1-10). The rinse chamber would be filled with deionized water from a deionized reservoir (col. 2, lines 52-55). An essential part of the apparatus is a conveyor means for automatically transferring the workpieces from treatment chamber to treatment chamber. (Fig. 1; Col. 3, lines 50-55). The conveyor allows for a plurality of substrates to be processed substantially at the same time. Using a pump to move fluid from one chamber to another is conventional. Jones further teaches a "controlled heater 67" (column 2, lines 28-35) used in the "treatment" chamber that "may be used as a drying chamber" (column 3, lines 1-3).

As to claim 6, Jones et al disclose a cleaning/etching solution containing hydrofluoric acid (col. 5, lines 49-60; col. 6, lines 33-35 and 51-54). Jones et al disclose cone shaped bottom tanks (Figs. 6A-B).

Application/Control Number: 09/039,438 Page 5

Art Unit: 1763

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the multiple chambers for rinsing and drying of Jones et al with the etching apparatus of

Nelson, Chung et al, and Kanda.

The motivation for doing so would have been to provide treating operations such as rinsing and

drying of substrates as taught by Jones et al.

1. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nelson (U.S.Pat.

4,147,581), Chung et al (U. S. Pat. No. 5,00,795), and Kanda (U.S.Pat. 4,338,157), and further in

view of Tittle (USPat. 4,886,590). Nelson, Chung, and Kanda are discussed above. However,

Nelson, Chung, and Kanda do not teach a concentration measuring device of the first etchant.

Tittle teaches a concentration ("characteristic"; column 1, lines 31-36; column 2, lines 17-22)

measuring device ("sensors", "chromatograph"; column 1, lines 65-68).

Art Unit: 1763

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made

for Nelson, Chung, and Kanda to add a concentration measuring device as taught by Tittle to his

endpoint detection system.

Motivation for Nelson, Chung, and Kanda to add a concentration measuring device as taught by

Tittle to his process control system is for monitoring when the rinsing solution should be

changed or cleaned (column 1, lines 39-41).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed June 24, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not 4.

persuasive.

5. With regards to Applicant's position that "the combined stream 31 of Nelson cannot read

on the claimed connecting passage" is inaccurate. Stream 31 feeding the etcher and stream 30

from the second tank read on the connecting passage.

Application/Control Number: 09/039,438 Page 2

Art Unit: 1763

Conclusion

1. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. USPat. 4,462,856.

2. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1763

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Rudy Zervigon whose telephone number is (703) 305-1351. The examiner can normally be reached on a Monday through Thursday schedule from 8am through 7pm. The official after final fax phone number for the 1763 art unit is (703) 872-9311. The official before final fax phone number for the 1763 art unit is (703) 872-9310. Any Inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Chemical and Materials Engineering art unit receptionist at (703) 308-0661. If the examiner can not be reached please contact the examiner's supervisor, Gregory L. Mills, at (703) 308-1633.

JEFFRIE R. LUND PRIMARY EXAMINER